

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,255	07/09/2003	Gerhard Spekowius	PHD 98164A	8008
24737	7590 07/05/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN	TELLECTUAL PROPER	CHOW, DOON Y		
P.O. BOX 300	)1			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/05/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/616,255	SPEKOWIUS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dennis-Doon Chow	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	 hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap	<u>oril 2006</u> .				
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 12-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 12-18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/616,255 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 12-18 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6618056. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claimed invention is a broader version of the patented claimed invention. The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of the present claims and claim 1 of the patent claims.

Claim 12 of the present claims	Claim 1 of the patented claims
A display device for correcting a brightness distribution of gray tone images displayed by a monitor driven by a plurality of electron rays, said display device comprising: a correction unit operable to generate a plurality of output digital image signals in response to a reception of an	A display device for correcting a brightness distribution of gray tone images displayed by a monitor driven by a plurality of electron rays, said display device comprising: a correction unit operable to generate a plurality of output

Application/Control Number: 10/616,255

Art Unit: 2629

input digital image signal representative of a set of gray tone values, each output digital image signal representative of a set of correction gray tone values corresponding to the set of gray tone values of the input digital image signal, the correction gray tone values collectively being representative of a correction of a brightness distribution of the gray tone values; and a digital-lo-analog conversion unit operable to convert the output digital image signal: into a plurality of output analog image signals, said digital-toanalog conversion unit further operable to provide each output digital image signal to one of the electron rays whereby the brightness distribution of the gray tone images displayed by the monitor is corrected.

digital image signals in response to a reception of an input digital image signal representative of a set of gray tone values, each output digital image signal representative of a set of correction gray tone values corresponding to the set of gray tone values of the input digital image signal, the correction gray tone values collectively being representative of a correction of a brightness distribution of the gray tone values; and a digital-toanalog conversion unit operable to convert the output digital image signals into a plurality of output analog image signals, said digital-to-analog conversion unit further operable to provide each output digital image signal to one of the electron rays whereby the brightness distribution of the gray tone images displayed by the monitor is corrected; and a photosensor operable to measure an ambient light surrounding the monitor and to communicate the measured ambient light to said correction unit.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2629

4. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiya et al (5155478) in view of Zenda (5386577).

Regarding to claim 12, Sekiya discloses a display device for displaying gray tone images with a monitor driven by electron rays, characterized in that a correction unit (40 Fig. 1) is provided for generating output image signals, which comprise gray tone values forming part of a set of correction gray tones, from an image signal which contains gray tone values forming part of a se of original gray tones (col. 2, line 64 to col. 3, line 40), and in that the output image signals are designed to be supplied each to an electron ray of the monitor (PDP, Fig. 1). Sekiya does not explicitly disclose a D/A converter for converting the output image signals before being applied to the monitor (PDP). Zenda, in the same display field, discloses a display device comprising a D/A converter (55d, Fig. 2) for converting digital signal data to analog signal data before being applied to a PDP display. In light of Zenda, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Zenda D/A converter in Sekiya display device for converting the image signals into analog image signals so that the image signal can be displayed in a PDP display which requires analog signal data.

Regarding to claims 13-16, Sekiya further discloses the input digital image signal includes n number of bits representative of 2<sup>n</sup> quantity of gray tone values, and the correction unit for the supply of an n-bit wide image signal (col. 3, lines 10-23), which comprises 2<sup>n</sup> or lest possible gray tone values (col. 3, lines 1-23), and correction sets each with 2<sup>n</sup> or lest gray tone values are provided (col. 3, lines 1-23).

Art Unit: 2629

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 17-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis-Doon Chow whose telephone number is 571-272-7767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on 571-272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis-Doon Chow Primary Examiner Art Unit 2677

D. Chow November 30, 2005